

**Arizona Supreme Court**  
**Criminal Petition for Review-Post Conviction (ASC)**

**CR-24-0217-PR**

**STATE OF ARIZONA v ALFREDO MAURICIO FLORES**

**Appellate Case Information**

Case Filed: **15-Aug-2024** Archive on: 26-Aug-2034 (planned)  
Case Closed: **26-Aug-2024**

**Dept/Composition**

**Side 1. STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent**

(Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA

- State of Arizona

**Attorneys for: Respondent**

Philip D Garrow, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 22458)

**Side 2. ALFREDO MAURICIO FLORES, Petitioner**

(Litigant Group) ALFREDO MAURICIO FLORES

- Alfredo Mauricio Flores

PRO SE

**CASE STATUS**

Aug 26, 2024....Case Closed

Aug 26, 2024....Decision Rendered

PREDECESSOR CASE(S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
1 CA	1 CA-CR 24-0455 PRPC				
↳ MAR	CR2020-138136-001		Ronee Korbin Steiner, Judge on PC Comments: (none)		

**CASE DECISION**

**26-Aug-2024 ORDER**

\* On August 15, 2024, Petitioner Flores, Pro Se, filed a Motion for Extension of Time, requesting an extension of time to file a Petition for Review of the decision of the Court of Appeals. The docket reflects that 1 CA-CR 24-0455 is pending in the Court

Filed: **26-Aug-2024**

Mandate:

Decision Disposition

**Denied**

Tracie Lindeman

**2 PROCEEDING ENTRIES**

1. 15-Aug-2024 FILED: Motion for Extension of Time for Rule 32 Petition for Review Opening Brief (Petitioner Flores, Pro Se)
2. 26-Aug-2024 On August 15, 2024, Petitioner Flores, Pro Se, filed a Motion for Extension of Time, requesting an extension of time to file a Petition for Review of the decision of the Court of Appeals. The docket reflects that 1 CA-CR 24-0455 is pending in the Court of Appeals. After consideration,  
  
IT IS ORDERED denying the motion without prejudice to filing a timely motion in compliance with Arizona Rules of Crim. Proc. Rule 31.6(e) and ARCAP 6(b) or Petition for Review after final resolution of the Court of Appeals case number 1 CA-CR 24-0455. (Tracie K. Lindeman, Clerk)